

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work on this research project at Sinharaja was made possible by the generous financial assistance provided by the National Science Foundation, Sri Lanka. The Financial assistance provided by NAGAO Natural Environmental Foundation, Japan is also gratefully acknowledged.

Our sincere thanks are extended to Dr. Asoka Nugawela, Dr. Luxman Rodrigo and Mr. Kelum Silva of the Rubber Research Institute, Sri Lanka and School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, USA for arranging and providing technical assistance with the infrared gas analyzer during this research. We are grateful to the Sri Lanka Forest Department for providing the field station facility and other logistic support at Sinharaja MAB reserve for our use during this study.

Our grateful appreciation is also due to Mr. Anura Tennakoon, Mr. W. A. Tennakoon, Mr. T. M. N. Jayatissa, Mr. T. M. Ratnayake, Mr. S. Harischandran, Mr. M. A. Gunadasa, Mr. K. G. S. Kapukotowa and Mr. W. Bandara, for their assistance during fieldwork in Sinharaja. Thanks are due to Ms. P. R. Karunaratne, Ms. V. C. D. Fernando for participating in part of the research and also to Ms. Tharangani Welegama, Ms. Neelakshi De Silva and R. M. M. Bandara for their assistance during the laboratory work and also to Mr. N. A. B. Narampanawa and Mr. M. A. S. S. Silva for their help during the preparation of this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Plates	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xviii
1. General introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Background and the objectives of the study	5
2. Objectives and general methodology of the study	7
2.1 Specific objectives of the study	8
2.2 General methodology	9
2.2.1 Site description	9
2.2.2 Study species	14
2.2.3 Experimental design of the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone	16
3. Variation in light intensities in the canopy removal treatments of the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of the Sinharaja forest	20
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Methodology	22
3.3 Results	
3.3.1 Light variation in the 3 rows removed, 1 row removed, 3 rows underplanting treatments and the control	26
3.3.2 Light variation in the 5 rows removed, 2 row removed treatments and the control	30
3.4 Discussion	34

	Page
4. Ecophysiology of <i>Shorea megistophylla</i> and <i>Shorea disticha</i> in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja	38
4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 Methodology	40
4.2.1 Growth measurements and seedling mortality	40
4.2.2 Leaf physiology	41
4.2.3 Leaf anatomy	41
4.2.4 Statistical analysis	42
4.3 Results	43
4.3.1 Seedling mortality	43
4.3.2 Growth measurements after ten years	43
4.3.3 Growth increments	47
4.3.4 Leaf physiology	49
4.3.5 Leaf anatomy	50
4.4 Discussion	54
5. Ecophysiology of four non-timber forest species <i>Caryota urens</i>, <i>Calamus ovoideus</i>, <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i>, and <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja	61
5.1 Introduction	62
Objectives	63
5.2 Methodology	63
5.2.1 Growth measurements and seedling mortality	63
5.2.2 Leaf physiology	64
5.2.3 Leaf Anatomy	65
5.2.4 Statistical analysis	66
5.3 Results	66
5.3.1 <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i>	67
5.3.2 <i>Calamus ovoideus</i>	73
5.3.3 <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i>	80
5.3.4 <i>Caryota urens</i>	87

	Page
6. Population sizes of five forest species providing non-timber forest products (<i>Shorea megistophylla</i>, <i>Shorea disticha</i>, <i>Calamus</i> spp., <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> and <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i>) in the disturbed habitats of Sinharaja	106
6.1 Introduction	107
6.2 Methodology	108
6.3 Results	111
6.3.1 <i>Shorea megistophylla</i> and <i>Shorea disticha</i>	111
6.3.2 Population sizes of <i>Calamus</i> spp. in the disturbed forest	113
6.3.3 Population sizes of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> in the disturbed forest	113
6.3.4 <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i>	116
6.4 Discussion	119
7. General conclusions and synthesis	126
7.1 Introduction	127
7.2 General conclusions	127
7.3 Implications for management	130
7.4 Suggestions for future work	131
References	132
Appendix I (a)	138
Appendix II (a)	139

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 Initial light levels, measured at ground level, received by the study species grown under different canopy removal treatments and the control in the <i>Pinus</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja	17
Table 3.1 The canopy removal treatments and the respective study species were grown, in the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	25
Table 3.2 Variance ratios (F – values) and level of significance following three way analysis of variance on total daily photosynthetic photon flux (DPPF) using data from the three canopy removal treatments (3 rows removed, 1 row removed and 3 rows underplanting) and the control, two seasons (dry and rainy) and three canopy levels (top, middle and lower).	26
Table 3.3 Variance ratios (F – values) and level of significance following three way analysis of variance on total daily photosynthetic photon flux (DPPF) using data from the two canopy removal treatments (5 rows removed and 2 rows removed) and the control, two seasons (dry and rainy) and three canopy levels (top, middle and lower).	31
Table 3.4 Total daily photosynthetic photon flux ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) at the top levels after ten years (in 2001) and at the start of the trial (in 1991) in the different canopy removal treatments in the enrichment <i>P. caribaea</i> plantation in the buffer zone of Sinharaja forest.	35
Table 4.1 Variance ratios (F – values) and significance following two way and one way analyses of variance on arcsine transformed data of % mortality of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> from 1995 – 2001 under different canopy removal treatments and control.	44
Table 4.2 Variance ratios (F – values) and significance following two way and one way analyses of variance on root collar diameter, diameter at breast height and plant height of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after under different canopy removal treatments and control.	45
Table 4.3 Variance ratios (F – values) and significance following two way and one way analyses of variance annual on root collar diameter increment, annual diameter at breast height and plant height increment per year of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after under different canopy removal treatments and control.	47

	Page
Table 4.4 Variance ratios (F – values) and significance following two way and one way analyses of variance on physiological measurements of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> after 10 years of growth under different canopy removal treatments.	50
Table 4.5 Variance ratios (F – values) and significance following two way and one way analyses of variance on anatomical variables (leaf blade thickness, cuticle thickness, upper epidermal thickness, lower epidermal thickness, palisade layer thickness and stomatal index) of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after growth under different canopy removal treatments.	52
Table 4.6 Summary of the variations in growth measurements, growth increments, physiological and anatomical attributes among the canopy removal treatments and the control of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after initial planting in different canopy removal treatments (3 rows removed, 1 row removed and 3 rows under planting) and closed canopy control.	57
Table 6.1 Density and total basal area of individuals greater than 1 cm DBH of <i>Shorea megistophylla</i> and <i>Shorea disticha</i> in the sampled sites of disturbed and undisturbed forest habitats in Sinharaja.	112
Table 6.2 Total number of individuals, stems and number of individuals with multiple stems of <i>Calamus</i> spp. sampled in the five different sites of the disturbed forest in Sinharaja MAB reserve. Percentage of stems having multiple stems are given in parentheses	114
Table 6. Total number of individuals, mean root collar diameter (RCD), mean root diameter (SD) per individual of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> in the three different sites of the disturbed forest in Sinharaja MAB reserve	115

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
Fig. 1.1	Map showing the forests in the southwest of Sri Lanka.	3
Fig. 2.1	Map of Sinharaja MAB reserve showing the location of the enrichment plantation trial in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> buffer zone plantation and the degraded habitats of the forest.	11
Fig. 2.2	Map showing the locations of the study site of the present investigation, viz., the enrichment plantation trial in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> buffer zone plantation, 25 ha long term ForestDynamics Plot (FDP) and the selectively logged area in the Sinharaja MAB reserve.	13
Fig. 2.3	Stand profile of the canopy removal treatments of one block of the <i>Pinus</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja MAB reserve.	18
Fig. 3.1	Total Daily Photosynthetic Photon Flux (DPPF) values ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) at different canopy levels in the 3 rows, 1 row removed, 3 rows underplanting treatment and closed canopy control 10 years after initial establishment of the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	28
Fig. 3.2	Measurements of daily Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density (PPFD) at different canopy levels in the 3 and 1 rows removed, and 3 rows underplanting treatments and the closed canopy (control) 10 years after initial establishment of the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	29
Fig. 3.3	Total Daily Photosynthetic Photon Flux (DPPF) values ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) at different canopy levels in the 5 rows and 2 rows removed treatments and closed canopy control 10 years after initial establishment of the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	32
Fig. 3.4	Measurements of Daily Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density (PPFD) at different canopy levels in the 5 and 2 rows removed treatments and the closed canopy (control) 10 years after initial establishment of the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	33
Fig. 4.1	Mean percentage mortality of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> from 1995 - 2001 under different canopy removal treatments (3R- 3 rows removed, 1R - one row removed, 3U - 3 rows under planting and CU - closed canopy control).	44
Fig. 4.2	Mean root collar diameter, height and diameter at breast height of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> after 10 years of growth under different canopy removal treatments (3R- 3 rows removed, 1R - one row removed, 3U - 3 rows under planting and CU - closed canopy control).	46

	Page
Fig. 4.3 Mean root collar, mean height and mean diameter at breast height increments per individual per year of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> from 1995 - 2001 under different canopy removal treatments (3R- 3 rows removed, 1R - one row removed, 3U - 3 rows underplanting) and closed canopy control (CU).	48
Fig. 4.4 Mean net photosynthetic, stomatal conductance, transpiration rates and water use efficiencies of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after initial planting in different canopy removal treatments (3R- 3 rows removed, 1R - one row removed, 3U - 3 rows under planting and CU - closed canopy control).	51
Fig. 4.5 Leaf anatomical attributes of <i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> 10 years after initial planting under different canopy removal treatments (3R- 3 rows removed, 1R - 1 row removed, 3U – 3 rows under planting) and closed canopy control (CU).	53
Fig. 5.01 Variation in growth characters of plants of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> grown for 11 years ((1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and a control.	70
Fig. 5.02 Mean root collar diameter from 1992 - 2003 and mean height growth from 1992 – 1998 of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> , under different light levels	71
Fig. 5.03 Variation in anatomical characters of leaves of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and control	72
Fig. 5.04 Variation in growth characters of plants of <i>Calamus ovoideus</i> grown for 11 years ((1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and a control.	77
Fig. 5.05 Mean root collar diameter from 1992 - 2003 and mean height growth from 1992 – 1998 of <i>Calamus ovoideus</i> , under different light levels.	78
Fig. 5.06 Variation in the anatomical characters of leaves of <i>Calamus ovoideus</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and a control.	79
Fig. 5.07 Variation in growth characters of plants of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and a control.	84
Fig. 5.08 Mean root collar diameter growth per frond and mean height growth per bush of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> from 1992-2003, under different light levels.	85

	Page
Fig. 5.09 Variation in anatomical characters of leaves of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under three different canopy removal treatments and control.	86
Fig. 5.10 Variation in growth characters of plants of <i>Caryota urens</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under two different canopy removal treatments and a control.	90
Fig. 5.11 Mean root collar diameter of <i>Caryota urens</i> from 1994 - 2003 and mean height growth of <i>Caryota urens</i> from 1991 – 2003, under different light levels.	91
Fig. 5.12 Variation in anatomical characters of leaves of <i>Caryota urens</i> grown for 11 years (1992 -2003) under two different canopy removal treatments and control.	92
Fig. 6.1 The approximate locations of the sampling sites of <i>Shorea megistophylla</i> , <i>Shorea disticha</i> , <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> , <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> and <i>Calamus</i> sp. in the disturbed forest of the Sinharaja MAB reserve.	109
Fig. 6.2 Transect method used for the sampling of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> along the logging roads in the disturbed forest habitats of Sinharaja.	110
Fig. 6.3 Distribution of individuals of <i>Shorea megistophylla</i> and <i>Shorea disticha</i> in different DBH classes in the disturbed and undisturbed sites of the Sinharaja forest.	112
Fig. 6.4 Root collar diameter distribution of <i>Calamus</i> spp. in five different sites of the disturbed forest of Sinharaja MAB reserve.	114
Fig. 6.5 Root collar diameter and shoot diameter distribution of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> in three different sites of the disturbed forest in the Sinharaja.	115
Fig. 6.6 Size variation among sampled individuals of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> in the disturbed forest of Sinharaja MAB reserve, based on the number of fronds per plant, crown diameter, basal stem diameter and plant height.	117
Fig. 6.7 Correlations between plant height, basal stem diameter, crown of <i>E. cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> in the disturbed forest habitats of Sinharaja.	118

LIST OF PLATES

	Page	
Plate 2.1	View of the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> buffer zone bordering the Sinharaja forest.	12
Plate 3.1	Top, middle and lower levels (A, B and C respectively) PAR was measured in the 3 pine rows removed treatment of the enrichment trial in the <i>P. caribaea</i> plantation in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	23
Plate 3.2	Measuring light intensities at the lower and the top level (10 m above the ground) of the canopy using PAR sensors and the data logger.	24
Plate 4.1	Study species <i>S. megistophylla</i> growing in the 3 pine rows removed treatment 10 years after of initial planting, in the <i>Pinus</i> enrichment trial in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	58
Plate 4.2	<i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> growing in the closed canopy control 10 years after initial establishment in the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	59
Plate 4.3	<i>S. megistophylla</i> and <i>S. disticha</i> growing in the 3 rows removed treatment with the other introduced species; <i>Calamus ovoideus</i> , <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> , <i>Mesua ferrea</i> 10 years after initial planting in the <i>Pinus</i> enrichment trial in the Sinharaja buffer zone.	60
Plate 5.01	Photomicrographs of leaf transverse sections of <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> grown in (i) three Pine rows removed treatment (ii) one Pine row removed treatment (iii) understory of three Pine rows removed treatment (iv) undisturbed Pine plantation (control) and (v) part of the leaf upper epidermal peel	93
Plate 5.02	Photomicrographs of leaf transverse sections of <i>Calamus ovoideus</i> grown in (i) one Pine row removed treatment (ii) understory of three Pine rows removed treatment and (iii) undisturbed Pine plantation (iv) part of the leaf lower epidermal peel grown in three Pine rows removed treatment	94
Plate 5.03	Photomicrograph of leaf transverse section of <i>E. cardamomum</i> grown in (i) 3 Pine rows removed (ii) 1 Pine row removed (iii) 3 Pine rows under planting treatments (iv) Undisturbed control	95
Plate 5.04	Part of the leaf upper and lower epidermal peels of <i>E. cardamomum</i> grown under three Pine rows removed (3R) treatment.	96
Plate 5.05	Part of the leaf upper and lower epidermal peels of <i>E. cardamomum</i> grown under one Pine row removed (1R) treatment.	96

	Page
Plate 5.06 Part of the leaf upper and lower epidermal peels of <i>E. cardamomum</i> grown under three Pine rows under plantation (3U) treatment.	97
Plate 5.07 Part of the leaf upper and lower epidermal peels of <i>E. cardamomum</i> grown under disturbed Pine plantation (control-CU).	97
Plate 5.08 Photomicrograph of leaf transverse section of <i>C. urens</i> grown in (i) 5 Pine rows removed (5R) treatment (ii) 2 Pine rows removed (2R) treatment and (iii) undisturbed Pine plantation (CU)	98
Plate 5.09 Part of the leaf upper and leaf lower epidermal peels of <i>C. urens</i> grown under five Pine rows removed (5R) treatment	99
Plate 5.10 Part of the leaf upper and leaf lower epidermal peels of <i>C. urens</i> grown under five Pine rows removed (2R) treatment	99
Plate 5.11 Part of the leaf upper and leaf lower epidermal peels of <i>C. urens</i> grown under undisturbed Pine plantation (control-CU).	100
Plate 5.12 Study species <i>E. cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> , <i>C. ovoideus</i> and <i>C. fenestratum</i> growing in the closed canopy control with the other timber tree species after nine years of growth (1992 – 2001) in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of the Sinharaja MAB reserve.	101
Plate 5.13 Study species, <i>E. cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> , <i>C. ovoideus</i> and <i>C. fenestratum</i> after nine years of growth (1992 – 2001) in the 3 pine rows underplanting treatment in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja MAB reserve	102
Plate 5.14 Poor growth of <i>E. cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> and of <i>C. fenestratum</i> after nine years growth in the 3 pine rows removed treatment in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja MAB reserve.	103
Plate 5.15 Best performance of <i>E. cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> after nine years growth in the closed canopy control in the <i>Pinus</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	103
Plate 5.16 Relatively poor growth of two liana species, <i>C. fenestratum</i> and <i>C. ovoideus</i> after 9 years growth in the closed canopy control in the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	104
Plate 5.17 Well grown cane liana, <i>C. ovoideus</i> after nine years growth in the 1 row removed gap in the <i>P. caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja.	104

	Page
Plate 5.18 Study species <i>Caryota urens</i> growing ten years after planting in the 5 pine rows removed treatment in the <i>Pinus caribaea</i> enrichment trial in the buffer zone of Sinharaja MAB reserve.	105
Plate 6.1 Study species <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> growing naturally along the logging road in the disturbed forest in Sinharaja forest.	122
Plate 6.2 <i>Calamus</i> sp. growing in one of the sites sampled (Waturawa) in part of the selectively logged forest in Sinharaja.	122
Plate 6.3 <i>Calamus</i> sp. growing in the forest fringe close to the access road in the forest reserve in one of the sites sampled (near to the field research station) in the selectively logged forest in Sinharaja.	123
Plate 6.4 The study species <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> , a spreading liana growing naturally in disturbed habitats in Sinharaja forest. <i>Coscinium fenestratum</i> growing in the forest fringe in one of the sites sampled (Waturawa) very close to the trail.	124
Plate 6.5 A clump of multiple stems of an individual of <i>Calamus</i> sp. in one of the sites sampled in the selectively logged area in Sinharaja forest. Each stem was tagged using aluminum number tags.	125
Plate 6.6 Measuring basal stem diameter of <i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> var. <i>major</i> in part of disturbed forest at Sinharaja.	125

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CUT	Cuticle Thickness
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height
DBH Inc.	Diameter at Breast Height Increment
DPPF	Daily Photosynthetic Photon Flux ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$)
DMRT	Duncan Multiple Range Test
<i>F</i>	F value (Variance Ratio)
GLM	General Linier Model
Height Inc.	Height Increment
LBT	Leaf Blade Thickness
LUT	Lower Epidermis Thickness
NTF species	Non-Timber Forest species
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Products
<i>P</i>	Probability
PAR	Photosynthetically Active Radiation
PPFD	Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
PLT	Palisade Layer Thickness
RCD	Root Collar Diameter
RCD Inc.	Root Collar Diameter Increment
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
STI	Stomatal Index
UET	Upper Epidermis Thickness
WUE	Water Use Efficiency